Talk abstract

Exploration of heterobimetallic complexes for electrocatalytic CO2 reduction

CO₂ emissions have led to serious greenhouse effects and climate change.^{1,2} In this regard, scientists have been working to convert CO₂ into value-added products as an abundant and inexpensive carbon source for the chemical industry.³ Although there are some classes of molecular catalysts known to convert CO2, none rival the rate and catalytic efficiency for the reversible reduction to CO by the enzyme Ch Ni-CODH II (Figure 1),⁴⁻⁶ which consists of a Ni and a proximal Lewis acidic Fe. There is evidence that redox-inactive Lewis acidic metals proximal to a redox-active metal center can increase CO₂ reactivity by shifting reduction potentials to milder values.⁷⁻⁹ Additionally, excess alkali cations in solution are known to enhance catalytic processes by stabilizing electron-rich intermediates.¹⁰⁻¹⁴



Figure 1. Active site of reduced Ch Ni-CODH II in the presence of CO₂. (Adapted from reference 5)



To understand heterobimetallic systems for CO2 activation, a modular ligand framework with crown ether moieties was explored, we report the synthesis and characterization of two ligands (Figure 2). It was possible the synthesis of a series of Co and Ni complexes [Ni(NN^{py}NO₅)H₂O][BF₄]₂(1), [Co(NN^{py}NO₅)H₂O][BF₄]₂(2), Ni(NN^{py}NO₅)Br₂(3), [Ni(SN^{py}SO₅)H₂O][BF₄]₂(4), [Ni(SN^{py}SO₅)H₂O][BF₄]₂(5) and Ni(SN^{py}SO₅)Br₂(6), as well as their characterization, including XRD (Figure 3). 1-6 were employed for CO₂ electroreduction in presence of Lewis acids; this study was held by using cyclic voltammetry, control potential electrolysis, and GC-MS⁺ to detect CO as a product, and determine overpotential, faradaic efficiency, and kinetics, (Figure 4).

Figure 2. Proposed M1M2 (ENPYEO3-5) heterobimetallic complexes.



Figure 3. Solid state structure of 1,2,4 and 5. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn to 80% probability. Hydrogen atoms (except for those bound to water) have been omitted for clarity.



Figure 4. Cyclic voltammetry experiments for CO2 reduction to CO, using 2 mM of 3. Electrolyte TBAPF₆ 0.1 M, in acetonitrile, scan rate 100 mV s⁻¹.

References

[1] Climate Change 2014: Mitigation of Climate Change. Contribution of Working Group III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change; Edenhofer, O., Sokona, Y., Farahani, E., Kadner, S., Seyboth, K., Adler, A., Baum, I., Brunner, S., Eickemeier, P., Kriemann, B., Savolainen, S., Schlömer, S., von Stechow, C., Zwickel, T., Minx, J. C., Eds.; Cambridge University Press: New York. [2] Chu, S.; Majumdar, A. Nature 2012, 488, 294-303.

- [3] Lewis, N. S.; Nocera, D. G. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2006, 103, 15729-15735.
- [4] Dobbek, H.; Svetlitchnyi, V.; Gremer, L.; Huber, R.; Meyer, O. Science 2001, 293, 1281-1285.
- [5] Jeoung, J.-H.; Dobbek, H. Science 2007, 318, 1461-1464.
- [6] Mehmet, C.; Armstrong, F.; Ragsdale, S. Chem. Rev. 2014, 114, 8, 4149-4174.
- [7] Zhanaidarova, A.; Steger, H.; Reineke, M. H.; Kubiak, C. P. Dalton Trans. 2017, 46, 12413-12416.
- [8] Yocum, C. F. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2008, 252, 296-305.
- [9] Isse, A.; Gennaro, A.; Vianello, E.; Floriani, C. J. Mol. Catal. 1991, 70, 197-208.
- [10] Bhugun, I.; Lexa, D.; Savéant J.-M., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 7, 1769-1776.
- [11] Sampson, M. D.; Kubiak, C. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 1386-1393.
- [12] Keith, J. A.; Grice, K. A.; Carter, E. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 15823-15829.
- [13] Beer, P. D. Adv. Inorg. Chem. 1992, 39, 79.
- [14] Cammarota, R. C.; Lu, C. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 12486-12489.